

Hydrogen bonding

XXI. Solvation parameters for alkylaromatic hydrocarbons from gas–liquid chromatographic data

Michael H. Abraham* and Gary S. Whiting

Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ (UK)

(Received September 4th, 1991)

ABSTRACT

The truncated solvation equation

$$\log SP = c + rR_2 + l \log L^{16}$$

has been applied to numerous sets of gas–liquid chromatographic (GLC) data for alkylaromatic hydrocarbons on non-polar stationary phases. Here SP can be V_G or can be the relative retention time, and the retention index I can in this context be used in place of $\log SP$. R_2 is the solute excess molar refraction, easily obtained from refractive index. A set of solutes of known $\log L^{16}$ is used to set up the equation and then values of $\log L^{16}$ can be back-calculated for other solutes; L^{16} is originally defined as the solute gas–liquid partition coefficient on hexadecane at 25°C. Through the above equation $\log L^{16}$ values were calculated for 190 solutes. Once $\log L^{16}$ is known, the reduced equation

$$\log SP = c + s\pi_2^H + l \log L^{16}$$

can be applied to GLC data on polar stationary phases, and the dipolarity/polarizability parameter π_2^H obtained by back-calculation in a similar way. Values of π_2^H for 120 solutes are listed. It is shown that *n*-alkyl substituents affect the π_2^H value only slightly, but *ortho* substituents considerably increase π_2^H , e.g., benzene (0.52), toluene (0.52), *o*-xylene (0.56), 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene (0.61), 1,2,3,4-tetramethylbenzene (0.65), pentamethylbenzene (0.66), hexamethylbenzene (0.72).

INTRODUCTION

Previously, we have shown that the general solvation equation

$$\log SP = c + rR_2 + s\pi_2^H + a\alpha_2^H + b\beta_2^H + l \log L^{16} \quad (1)$$

can be used to characterize all types of gas–liquid chromatographic (GLC) stationary phases, ranging from non-polar hydrocarbons to liquid salts [1–3], and also polymers [4] and not too volatile, non-polymeric liquids [5]. In eqn. 1, $\log SP$ is a retention property for a series of solutes on a given stationary

phase, such as the retention volume as $\log V_G$ or the gas–liquid partition coefficient as $\log L$, but not the retention index I . The explanatory variables are solute properties as follows: R_2 is the solute excess molar refraction [6], π_2^H is the solute dipolarity/polarizability [1], α_2^H and β_2^H are the solute hydrogen-bond acidity and basicity [1] and L^{16} is the solute gas–liquid partition coefficient on hexadecane at 25°C [7]. Note that in eqn. 1, π_2^H , α_2^H and β_2^H are “effective” or “summation” values, appropriate to the situation in which a monomeric solute molecule is surrounded by a large excess of solvent molecules.

The constants in eqn. 1 are found by the method of multiple linear regression analysis, and serve to

characterize the particular stationary phase under investigation. Eqn. 1 can also be used to correlate a series of I values, but the constants thus obtained are not characteristic constants for the particular stationary phase. As we shall see, however, the use of I values can lead to equations that are useful in other ways.

The generality of eqn. 1 depends on the availability of the various solute parameters used as explanatory variables. We have set out an extensive table of these parameters, but the large majority of solutes therein were aliphatic rather than aromatic. In order to extend our data base of solutes, so as to make eqn. 1 more general, we now set out the determination of relevant parameters for aromatic hydrocarbons, especially the key parameter π_2^H .

As the solutes with which we shall deal are all non-hydrogen-bonded acids, the term $a\alpha_2^H$ in eqn. 1 will be redundant. Further, all the stationary phases we shall investigate will be either neutral or hydrogen-bonded bases. They will not be hydrogen-bonded acids and so the $b\beta_2^H$ term in eqn. 1 is also redundant, leaving

$$\log SP = c + rR_2 + s\pi_2^H + l \log L^{16} \quad (2)$$

The excess molar refraction, R_2 , can be obtained from the liquid refractive index, or can easily be estimated, so that there are only two unknown parameters, π_2^H and $\log L^{16}$, for any solute in eqn. 2.

Our method is thus to set up equations for a series of known solutes on a non-polar phase, where the $s\pi_2^H$ term will either be zero or small, and then for any other solute knowing $\log SP$ and R_2 a value of $\log L^{16}$ can be obtained by back-calculation. Once $\log L^{16}$ is known, the only other required parameter is π_2^H . Now, if an equation can be constructed for known solutes on a polar phase, where the s -constant is very large, then for any other solute π_2^H can be back-calculated, knowing $\log SP$, R_2 and $\log L^{16}$. Unlike the situation with characteristic constants, $\log SP$ in the above method can now be the retention index I . Indeed, many of the equations we shall use will be in terms of I , or of $I/10$, which for our purpose is slightly more convenient.

RESULTS

There is a very large amount of literature data, mostly as retention index values, for aromatic

hydrocarbons on non-polar phases such as squalane and other hydrocarbons [8–16] and poly(methylsiloxanes) such as OV-101 [14,17–20]. Most results are at temperatures around 100°C, where the $s\pi_2^H$ term in eqn. 2 is negligible. Then the correlation equation reduces to

$$\log SP = c + rR_2 + l \log L^{16} \quad (3)$$

where $SP = V_G$ or the relative retention time $t(\text{rel})$, or to

$$I = c' + r'R_2 + l' \log L^{16} \quad (4)$$

where I is the retention index. The constants in eqn. 4 will not correspond to those in eqn. 3, but this does not matter as far as the back-calculation of $\log L^{16}$ is concerned.

Results of the back-calculations are summarized in Table I, where S.D. is the standard deviation in $\log L^{16}$ over the various determinations, and No. is the number of determinations. In a few instances, notably with benzene, there are slight differences between the squalane and the siloxane values, but we take the overall average as the obtained value. For a few solutes, it is possible to compare the overall average in Table I with our directly determined $\log L^{16}$ values on hexadecane [7] (see Table II). There is good agreement between the two sets of values, and if the new values were to replace the old set in any correlation equation, alterations in the equations would be insignificant. Typical equations used to back-calculate $\log L^{16}$ are for squalane at 96°C [11]

$$I/10 = 7.604 + 4.189R_2 + 19.747 \log L^{16} \quad (5)$$

$$n = 67, \quad R = 0.9996, \quad \text{S.D.} = 0.377$$

and for OV-101 at 100°C [18]

$$I/10 = 6.418 + 5.915R_2 + 19.979 \log L^{16} \quad (6)$$

$$n = 42, \quad R = 0.9992, \quad \text{S.D.} = 0.482$$

where n is the number of solutes, R is the overall correlation coefficient and S.D. is the standard deviation in $I/10$. As a rough indication of the error in the back-calculated $\log L^{16}$ values we can take the overall standard deviation divided by the particular constant, in this instance $\text{S.D.}/l$. Expected errors in $\log L^{16}$ are thus estimated to be around 0.02 log units, in agreement with the various S.D. values given in Table I. Values of R_2 needed in the back-calculations are also given in Table I.

TABLE I
CALCULATED VALUES OF LOG L^{16}

Solute	R	Squalane average	S.D.	No.	Siloxane average	S.D.	No.	Overall average	S.D.	No.
Benzene	0.610	2.778	0.003	8	2.803	0.002	4	2.786	0.013	12
Toluene	0.601	3.325	0.005	8	3.326	0.007	4	3.325	0.006	12
Ethylbenzene	0.613	3.774	0.003	8	3.785	0.004	5	3.778	0.006	13
<i>o</i> -Xylene	0.663	3.944	0.003	8	3.931	0.003	5	3.939	0.007	13
<i>m</i> -Xylene	0.623	3.850	0.003	8	3.821	0.005	5	3.839	0.016	13
<i>p</i> -Xylene	0.613	3.845	0.004	8	3.829	0.003	5	3.839	0.009	13
<i>n</i> -Propylbenzene	0.604	4.220	0.003	8	4.246	0.005	5	4.230	0.014	13
Isopropylbenzene	0.602	4.075	0.003	8	4.099	0.005	5	4.084	0.012	13
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	0.728	4.571	0.005	8	4.554	0.010	5	4.565	0.011	13
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.677	4.456	0.005	8	4.417	0.009	5	4.441	0.021	13
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.649	4.371	0.005	8	4.301	0.006	5	4.344	0.036	13
2-Ethyltoluene	0.680	4.346	0.004	8	4.348	0.005	5	4.346	0.004	13
3-Ethyltoluene	0.630	4.276	0.006	8	4.273	0.003	5	4.275	0.005	13
4-Ethyltoluene	0.630	4.292	0.004	8	4.283	0.002	5	4.289	0.005	13
<i>n</i> -Butylbenzene	0.600	4.722	0.005	8	4.746	0.007	4	4.730	0.013	12
Isobutylbenzene	0.580	4.493	0.005	8	4.525	0.004	2	4.500	0.014	10
<i>sec</i> .-Butylbenzene	0.603	4.489	0.005	8	4.533	0.008	5	4.506	0.023	13
<i>tert</i> .-Butylbenzene	0.619	4.400	0.009	8	4.439	0.008	4	4.413	0.021	12
1,2-Diethylbenzene	0.688	4.721	0.005	6	4.749	0.006	4	4.732	0.015	10
1,3-Diethylbenzene	0.637	4.676	0.009	8	4.701	0.010	5	4.686	0.016	13
1,4-Diethylbenzene	0.645	4.732	0.007	8	4.732	0.006	5	4.732	0.006	13
1,2,4,5-Tetramethylbenzene	0.739	5.048	0.009	7	5.008	0.009	6	5.029	0.022	13
1,2,3,5-Tetramethylbenzene	0.748	5.074	0.009	7	5.027	0.017	6	5.052	0.027	13
1,2,3,4-Tetramethylbenzene	0.794	5.179	0.009	7	5.171	0.019	4	5.176	0.013	11
2- <i>n</i> -Propyltoluene	0.664	4.757	0.008	8	4.781	0.010	5	4.766	0.015	13
3- <i>n</i> -Propyltoluene	0.624	4.707	0.006	6	4.713	0.004	5	4.710	0.006	11
4- <i>n</i> -Propyltoluene	0.623	4.735	0.006	8	4.733	0.002	5	4.734	0.005	13
2-Isopropyltoluene	0.669	4.611	0.006	6	4.635	0.015	5	4.622	0.016	11
3-Isopropyltoluene	0.621	4.556	0.011	7	4.555	0.015	5	4.556	0.012	12
4-Isopropyltoluene	0.607	4.593	0.008	7	4.584	0.011	5	4.590	0.010	12
1,2-Dimethyl-3-ethylbenzene	0.742	4.951	0.005	4	4.936	0.003	2	4.946	0.009	6
1,2-Dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	0.685	4.880	0.003	6	4.860	0.007	3	4.873	0.011	9
1,3-Dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene	0.757	4.866	0.006	4	4.865	0.011	2	4.866	0.007	6
1,3-Dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	0.690	4.852	0.007	6	4.834	0.008	3	4.846	0.011	9
1,3-Dimethyl-5-ethylbenzene	0.653	4.774	0.006	4	4.741	0.008	3	4.760	0.018	7
1,4-Dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene	0.693	4.824	0.005	6	4.826	0.013	3	4.824	0.007	9
<i>n</i> -Pentylbenzene	0.594	5.225	0.016	4	5.254		1	5.230	0.019	5
Isopentylbenzene	0.574	5.053	0.004	2	5.097		1	5.068	0.026	3
<i>sec</i> .-Pentylbenzene	0.600	4.937	0.009	4				4.937	0.009	4
<i>tert</i> .-Pentylbenzene	0.620	4.890	0.011	3	4.965		1	4.909	0.038	4
Pentamethylbenzene	0.850	5.795	0.013	4	5.805	0.019	2	5.798	0.014	6
2- <i>n</i> -Butyltoluene	0.652	5.261		1	5.292		1	5.276	0.022	2
3- <i>n</i> -Butyltoluene	0.617				5.216		1	5.216		1
4- <i>n</i> -Butyltoluene	0.621	5.234		1	5.248		1	5.241	0.010	2
3- <i>sec</i> .-Butyltoluene	0.613				4.963		1	4.963		1
4- <i>sec</i> .-Butyltoluene	0.613	5.011	0.001	2	4.998		1	5.006	0.007	3
2- <i>tert</i> .-Butyltoluene	0.670	4.998	0.001	2				4.998	0.001	2
3- <i>tert</i> .-Butyltoluene	0.630	4.826	0.006	3				4.826	0.006	3
4- <i>tert</i> .-Butyltoluene	0.620	4.922	0.008	3	4.939		1	4.926	0.011	4
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	0.660	5.144	0.001	2	5.176		1	5.154	0.019	3
1-Ethyl-3- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	0.622	5.110	0.000	2	5.137		1	5.119	0.016	3
1-Ethyl-4- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	0.625	5.174	0.007	3	5.205		1	5.182	0.016	4

(Continued on p. 232)

TABLE I (continued)

Solute	R	Squalane average	S.D.	No.	Siloxane average	S.D.	No.	Overall average	S.D.	No.
1-Ethyl-2-isopropylbenzene	0.658	4.943	0.001	2	5.012		1	4.966	0.040	3
1-Ethyl-3-isopropylbenzene	0.625	4.935	0.001	2	4.966	0.013	2	4.951	0.020	4
1-Ethyl-4-isopropylbenzene	0.626	5.039	0.001	2	5.018	0.008	2	5.028	0.013	4
1,2-Dimethyl-3-n-propylbenzene	0.750				5.373		1	5.373		1
1,2-Dimethyl-4-n-propylbenzene	0.690				5.282		1	5.282		1
1,3-Dimethyl-2-n-propylbenzene	0.757				5.263		1	5.263		1
1,3-Dimethyl-4-n-propylbenzene	0.690				5.259	0.010	2	5.259	0.010	2
1,3-Dimethyl-5-n-propylbenzene	0.653				5.169	0.013	2	5.169	0.013	2
1,4-Dimethyl-2-n-propylbenzene	0.693				5.237	0.007	2	5.242		1
1,2-Dimethyl-4-isopropylbenzene	0.690				5.150	0.011	2	5.150	0.010	2
1,3-Dimethyl-4-isopropylbenzene	0.695				5.102	0.008	2	5.102	0.008	2
1,3-Dimethyl-5-isopropylbenzene	0.658				5.007	0.003	2	5.007	0.003	2
1,4-Dimethyl-2-isopropylbenzene	0.698				5.079	0.011	2	5.079	0.011	2
1,2-Diethyl-3-methyltoluene	0.740				5.338		1	5.338		1
1,2-Diethyl-4-methylbenzene	0.720				5.190		1	5.190		1
1,3-Diethyl-4-methylbenzene	0.708				5.241	0.007	2	5.241	0.007	2
1,3-Diethyl-5-methylbenzene	0.665				5.075	0.062	3	5.075	0.062	3
1,4-Diethyl-2-methylbenzene	0.708				5.221		1	5.221		1
1,2,3-Trimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	0.800				5.594		1	5.594		1
1,2,3-Trimethyl-5-ethylbenzene	0.760				5.452		1	5.452		1
1,2,4-Trimethyl-3-ethylbenzene	0.800				5.559		1	5.559		1
1,2,5-Trimethyl-3-ethylbenzene	0.760				5.407		1	5.407		1
1,3,5-Trimethyl-2-ethylbenzene	0.760				5.402		1	5.402		1
n-Hexylbenzene	0.591	5.707	0.006	3	5.758		1	5.720	0.026	4
Hexamethylbenzene	0.950	6.538		1	6.576		1	6.557	0.027	2
1,2-Di-n-propylbenzene	0.665	5.492		1				5.492		1
1,3-Di-n-propylbenzene	0.625	5.514		1	5.546		1	5.530	0.023	2
1,4-Di-n-propylbenzene	0.620	5.601		1	5.605		1	5.603	0.003	2
1,2-Diisopropylbenzene	0.665	5.149	0.002	2	5.253		1	5.183	0.060	3
1,3-Diisopropylbenzene	0.605	5.148	0.006	4	5.213	0.006	2	5.170	0.034	6
1,4-Diisopropylbenzene	0.616	5.319	0.010	4	5.309	0.011	2	5.315	0.010	6
1-n-Propyl-2-isopropylbenzene	0.665				5.394		1	5.394		1
1-n-Propyl-3-isopropylbenzene	0.616				5.408		1	5.408		1
1-n-Propyl-4-isopropylbenzene	0.618	5.453		1	5.447		1	5.450	0.004	2
1,2-Dimethyl-3-n-butylbenzene	0.750				5.858		1	5.858		1
1,2-Dimethyl-4-n-butylbenzene	0.690				5.762		1	5.762		1
1,2-Dimethyl-4-sec.-butylbenzene	0.690				5.542		1	5.542		1
1,2-Dimethyl-4-tert.-butylbenzene	0.690				5.452		1	5.452		1
1,3-Dimethyl-4-n-butylbenzene	0.695				5.736		1	5.736		1
1,3-Dimethyl-4-sec.-butylbenzene	0.695				5.482		1	5.482		1
1,3-Dimethyl-5-n-butylbenzene	0.640				5.640		1	5.640		1
1,3-Dimethyl-5-sec.-butylbenzene	0.640				5.391		1	5.391		1
1,3-Dimethyl-5-tert.-butylbenzene	0.640	5.292	0.010	2				5.292	0.010	2
1,4-Dimethyl-2-n-butylbenzene	0.690				5.707		1	5.707		1
1,4-Dimethyl-2-sec.-butylbenzene	0.695				5.447		1	5.447		1
1-Methyl-2-n-pentylbenzene	0.650	5.735		1	5.740		1	5.738	0.004	2
1-Methyl-3-n-pentyltoluene	0.620				5.672		1	5.672		1
1-Methyl-4-n-pentylbenzene	0.620	5.727		1	5.702		1	5.715	0.018	2
1-Ethyl-2-n-butylbenzene	0.650	5.598		1				5.598		1
1-Ethyl-3-n-butyltoluene	0.620				5.602		1	5.602		1
1-Ethyl-4-n-butylbenzene	0.620	5.681		1	5.657		1	5.669	0.017	2
1-Ethyl-2-sec.-butylbenzene	0.650				5.380		1	5.380		1

TABLE I (continued)

Solute	R	Squalane average	S.D.	No.	Siloxane average	S.D.	No.	Overall average	S.D.	No.
1-Ethyl-3-sec.-butyltoluene	0.620				5.347		1	5.347		1
1-Ethyl-4-sec.-butyltoluene	0.620				5.422		1	5.422		1
1-Ethyl-4- <i>tert</i> -butylbenzene	0.620	5.363	0.001	2				5.363	0.001	2
1,2,3-Triethylbenzene	0.780				5.683		1	5.683		1
1,2,4-Triethylbenzene	0.715	5.585	0.005	2	5.589		1	5.586	0.004	3
1,3,5-Triethylbenzene	0.672	5.504	0.004	3	5.520	0.001	2	5.510	0.009	5
1,2,3-Trimethyl-5- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	0.760				5.882		1	5.882		1
1,2,3-Trimethyl-5-isopropylbenzene	0.760				5.717		1	5.717		1
1,2,4-Trimethyl-3- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	0.800				5.969		1	5.969		1
1,2,4-Trimethyl-5-isopropylbenzene	0.750				5.653		1	5.653		1
1,2,5-Trimethyl-3- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	0.760				5.872		1	5.872		1
1,3,5-Trimethyl-2- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	0.760				5.802		1	5.802		1
<i>n</i> -Heptylbenzene	0.577	6.219		1				6.219		1
1-Methyl-2- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	0.647	6.218		1				6.218		1
1-Methyl-4- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	0.618	6.219		1				6.219		1
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	0.647	6.069		1				6.069		1
1-Ethyl-4- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	0.618	6.162		1				6.162		1
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-2- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	0.647	5.955		1				5.955		1
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-4- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	0.618	6.105		1				6.105		1
<i>n</i> -Octylbenzene	0.579	6.714		1				6.714		1
1,2-Di- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	0.642	6.409		1				6.409		1
1,4-Di- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	0.611	6.612		1				6.612		1
1,4-Diisobutylbenzene	0.610	6.091		1				6.091		1
1,4-Di-sec.-butylbenzene	0.612	6.073		1				6.073		1
1,4-Di- <i>tert</i> -butylbenzene	0.616	5.956		1				5.956		1
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-4-isobutylbenzene	0.611	6.353		1				6.353		1
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-4-sec.-butylbenzene	0.612	6.347		1				6.347		1
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-4- <i>tert</i> -butylbenzene	0.613	6.280		1				6.280		1
1-Isobutyl-4-sec.-butylbenzene	0.611	6.081		1				6.081		1
1-Isobutyl-4- <i>tert</i> -butylbenzene	0.613	6.004		1				6.004		1
1-sec.-Butyl-4- <i>tert</i> -butylbenzene	0.614	6.004		1				6.004		1
1-Methyl-2- <i>n</i> -heptylbenzene	0.642	6.714		1				6.714		1
1-Methyl-4- <i>n</i> -heptylbenzene	0.611	6.728		1				6.728		1
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	0.642	6.547		1				6.547		1
1-Ethyl-4- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	0.611	6.664		1				6.664		1
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-2- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	0.642	6.418		1				6.418		1
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-4- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	0.611	6.653		1				6.653		1
<i>n</i> -Nonylbenzene	0.578	7.212		1				7.212		1
1-Methyl-2- <i>n</i> -octylbenzene	0.640	7.209		1				7.209		1
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -heptylbenzene	0.637	7.040		1				7.040		1
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-2- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	0.637	6.892		1				6.892		1
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-2- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	0.637	6.862		1				6.862		1
1-Methyl-3,5-di- <i>tert</i> -butylbenzene	0.616	7.099		1				7.099		1
1,3,5-Triisopropylbenzene	0.627	5.999	0.011	3	6.104		1	6.025	0.053	4
<i>n</i> -Decylbenzene	0.579	7.708		1				7.708		1
1-Methyl-2- <i>n</i> -nonylbenzene	0.636	7.705		1				7.705		1
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -octylbenzene	0.635	7.532		1				7.532		1
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-2- <i>n</i> -heptylbenzene	0.635	7.379		1				7.379		1
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-2- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	0.635	7.333		1				7.333		1
1,2-Di- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	0.635	7.317		1				7.317		1
1,2,4,5-Tetraisopropylbenzene	0.726	6.559		1				6.559		1
Styrene	0.849	3.865	0.002	2	3.840		1	3.856	0.014	3

(Continued on p. 234)

TABLE I (*continued*)

Solute	R	Squalane average	S.D.	No.	Siloxane average	S.D.	No.	Overall average	S.D.	No.
α -Methylstyrene	0.847	4.300	0.004	2	4.278		1	4.292	0.013	3
<i>trans</i> - β -Methylstyrene	0.913	4.486	0.018	2				4.486	0.018	2
2-Methylstyrene	0.915	4.358	0.005	2	4.342		1	4.352	0.010	3
3-Methylstyrene	0.866	4.390	0.003	2	4.346		1	4.375	0.025	3
4-Methylstyrene	0.871	4.399	0.005	2				4.399	0.005	2
2,4-Dimethylstyrene	0.913	4.913	0.001	2				4.913	0.001	2
Phenylethyne	0.679	3.692	0.005	2				3.692	0.005	2
Allylbenzene	0.717	4.126	0.003	2	4.157		1	4.136	0.018	3
Naphthalene	1.340	5.160	0.004	3	5.163	0.001	2	5.161	0.003	5
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.344	5.756	0.007	2	5.821	0.082	2	5.789	0.061	4
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.304	5.774	0.001	2	5.768	0.095	2	5.771	0.055	4
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.431				6.398		1	6.398		1
1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.387				6.326		1	6.326		1
1,4-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.400				6.339		1	6.339		1
1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.369				6.447		1	6.447		1
1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.369				6.280		1	6.280		1
1,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.369				6.264		1	6.264		1
1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.400				6.496		1	6.529	0.047	2
2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.431				6.291	0.062	2	6.291	0.062	2
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.329				6.226	0.024	2	6.226	0.024	2
2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.329				6.228		1	6.228		1
2-Ethynaphthalene	1.371				6.140		1	6.140		1
2,3,6-Trimethylnaphthalene	1.429				6.856		1	6.856		1
1-Allylnaphthalene	1.474				6.556		1	6.556		1
Tetrahydronaphthalene	0.891	5.183	0.005	3	5.234	0.037	2	5.203	0.034	5
1,2-Dihydronaphthalene	1.093	5.119	0.004	2	5.151		1	5.130	0.019	3
Indene	1.001	4.546	0.001	2	4.572	0.018	2	4.559	0.018	4
1-Methylindene	0.980	4.737	0.018	2				4.737	0.018	2
2-Methylindene	1.123	4.735	0.002	2				4.735	0.002	2
3-Methylindene	1.123	5.041	0.004	2				5.041	0.004	2
5-Ethylindene	1.000	5.498		1				5.498		1
Indane	0.829	4.574	0.003	3	4.614	0.013	2	4.590	0.023	5
1-Methylindane	0.804	4.823		1				4.823		1
2-Methylindane	0.694	4.812		1				4.812		1
4-Methylindane	0.820	5.138		1				5.138		1
5-Methylindane	0.820	5.103		1				5.103		1
1,2-Dimethylindane	0.820	5.155	0.003	2				5.155	0.003	2

Of the 190 $\log L^{16}$ values in Table I, about 60 are averages of three or more values, and can be regarded as reasonably precise. The remaining values could be expected to alter slightly if further data were forthcoming, but we believe such alteration would be minimal.

In these back-calculations of $\log L^{16}$, standard known values of $\log L^{16}$ are available, either from previous determinations as shown in Table II or from the *n*-alkanes used to obtain the *I* values.

However, if we wish to use eqn. 2 to back-calculate π_2^H values, it is essential to have a reasonable number of values already available as standards. We therefore set up a number of regression equations using data that included aromatic hydrocarbons together with a variety of other solutes of known [1] π_2^H values. We used the results of Langer and co-workers [21,22] on polyaromatic hydrocarbons [21] and dialkyl tetrachlorophthalate esters [22], and also several other series [19,23,24].

TABLE II

COMPARISON OF OVERALL AVERAGE LOG L^{16} VALUES IN TABLE I WITH DIRECTLY DETERMINED VALUES

Solute	Table I	Direct values ^a
Benzene	2.786	2.803
Toluene	3.325	3.344
<i>o</i> -Xylene	3.939	3.937
<i>m</i> -Xylene	3.839	3.864
<i>p</i> -Xylene	3.839	3.858
<i>n</i> -Propylbenzene	4.230	4.221

^a Ref. 7.

Results of these preliminary regressions are given in Table III. A typical equation, using Langer and Purnell's [21] results on 7,8-benzoquinoline at 110°C is given as

$$\log V_G^0 = -0.582 + 1.119 \pi_2^H + 0.622 \log L^{16} \quad (7)$$

$$n = 30, \quad R = 0.9980, \quad S.D. = 0.029$$

We can estimate the expected error in back-calculated π_2^H values as S.D./*s*, i.e. $0.029/1.119 = 0.026$ units. For the seventeen solutes listed in Table III, the average of the S.D. (π_2^H) values is 0.012 units.

We can now use these seventeen aromatic solutes

TABLE III

PRELIMINARY CALCULATIONS OF π_2^H

Solute	Overall average	S.D.	No.
Benzene	0.515	0.011	16
Toluene	0.514	0.010	16
Ethylbenzene	0.511	0.012	16
<i>o</i> -Xylene	0.559	0.007	16
<i>m</i> -Xylene	0.519	0.010	16
<i>p</i> -Xylene	0.515	0.013	16
<i>n</i> -Propylbenzene	0.496	0.012	13
Isopropylbenzene	0.488	0.017	14
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	0.610	0.019	15
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.552	0.012	16
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.505	0.027	13
2-Ethyltoluene	0.546	0.007	12
3-Ethyltoluene	0.500	0.016	12
4-Ethyltoluene	0.499	0.005	12
<i>n</i> -Butylbenzene	0.493	0.009	12
<i>tert</i> .-Butylbenzene	0.475	0.016	12

as standards to construct final equations for the back-calculation of π_2^H , using polar phases where the *s* constant is large. As we have seen, the magnitude of *s* is not the only factor that determines the expected error in π_2^H , and we have restricted our analysis to equations in which S.D./*s* is ≤ 0.02 units. Most of our final equations relate to Carbowaxes at various temperatures [14–16, 25, 26] or to a variety of dialkyltetrachloro- or -tetrabromophthalates [26–28], but a number of other phases [29–33] were also used. A typical equation is for the 35 aromatic solutes on di-*n*-propyl tetrachlorophthalate at 90°C [26], where, with no omissions, we find for $\log t$ relative to *n*-propylbenzene

$$\log t(\text{rel}) = -3.433 + 1.640 \pi_2^H + 0.618 \log L^{16} \quad (8)$$

$$n = 35, \quad R = 0.9986, \quad S.D. = 0.018$$

Although eqn. 8 is suitable for the calculation of π_2^H values, with S.D./*s* = 0.011 units, it is of course unsuitable as a general solvation equation because of the limited range of the explanatory variables.

Our final set of 123 calculated π_2^H values is given in Table IV, together with the number of equations used, the standard deviation in π_2^H , and the maximum and minimum values of π_2^H obtained as a result of the back-calculations. We also list the maximum deviation (Max – Min) and in the final column give the π_2^H value we select. For a few cases, shown in parentheses, the selected value is not exactly the same as the calculated value. We shall deal with these later. These most recent values of π_2^H in Table IV are in good agreement with those we have listed previously [1], although in a few instances, e.g., *o*-xylene and 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene, our new values are higher than the old values. Where there are differences, the new values are to be preferred.

In general, the back-calculation method works very well. For the solutes where the number of equations used is two or more, the S.D. in π_2^H averages out to 0.009, and the average value of Max – Min is 0.024 units. We can therefore claim that the final π_2^H values are precise to ca. 0.01 unit. However, such precision disguises the fact that any individual determination may be subject to much larger errors. Thus, for the typical case of *n*-propylbenzene, the spread of results ranges from 0.511 to 0.483 units, and in the atypical case of benzene from 0.547 to 0.495 units. We therefore suggest that

TABLE IV

CALCULATED VALUES OF π_2^H

Solute	No.	Average	S.D.	Max	Min	Max - Min	Taken value
Benzene	26	0.520	0.014	0.547	0.495	0.052	0.52
Toluene	26	0.520	0.008	0.533	0.503	0.030	0.52
Ethylbenzene	26	0.511	0.007	0.527	0.501	0.026	0.51
<i>o</i> -Xylene	25	0.565	0.011	0.585	0.542	0.043	0.56
<i>m</i> -Xylene	25	0.523	0.006	0.535	0.515	0.020	0.52
<i>p</i> -Xylene	25	0.521	0.016	0.551	0.503	0.048	0.52
<i>n</i> -Propylbenzene	26	0.500	0.008	0.511	0.483	0.028	0.50
Isopropylbenzene	26	0.487	0.011	0.515	0.465	0.050	0.49
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	21	0.613	0.011	0.633	0.591	0.042	0.61
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	24	0.563	0.011	0.588	0.548	0.040	0.56
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	25	0.520	0.012	0.536	0.489	0.047	0.52
2-Ethyltoluene	22	0.553	0.007	0.563	0.536	0.027	0.55
3-Ethyltoluene	22	0.510	0.012	0.527	0.490	0.037	0.51
4-Ethyltoluene	21	0.509	0.006	0.518	0.499	0.019	0.51
<i>n</i> -Butylbenzene	26	0.505	0.011	0.521	0.484	0.037	0.51
Isobutylbenzene	15	0.471	0.010	0.495	0.456	0.039	0.47
<i>sec</i> .-Butylbenzene	17	0.480	0.004	0.488	0.471	0.017	0.48
<i>tert</i> .-Butylbenzene	16	0.489	0.007	0.500	0.473	0.027	0.49
1,2-Diethylbenzene	15	0.540	0.009	0.549	0.527	0.022	0.54
1,3-Diethylbenzene	16	0.506	0.009	0.522	0.487	0.035	0.50
1,4-Diethylbenzene	16	0.505	0.009	0.516	0.488	0.028	0.50
1,2,4,5-Tetramethylbenzene	17	0.604	0.013	0.625	0.584	0.041	0.60
1,2,3,5-Tetramethylbenzene	17	0.610	0.010	0.629	0.592	0.037	0.61
1,2,3,4-Tetramethylbenzene	17	0.656	0.018	0.685	0.623	0.062	0.65
2- <i>n</i> -Propyltoluene	14	0.541	0.006	0.547	0.525	0.022	0.54
3- <i>n</i> -Propyltoluene	10	0.505	0.003	0.509	0.499	0.010	0.50
4- <i>n</i> -Propyltoluene	16	0.503	0.004	0.515	0.499	0.016	0.50
2-Isopropyltoluene	10	0.529	0.012	0.536	0.498	0.038	0.53
3-Isopropyltoluene	14	0.497	0.007	0.512	0.485	0.027	0.49
4-Isopropyltoluene	12	0.490	0.009	0.509	0.474	0.035	0.49
1,2-Dimethyl-3-ethylbenzene	10	0.607	0.008	0.615	0.594	0.021	0.61
1,2-Dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	16	0.558	0.010	0.579	0.542	0.037	0.56
1,3-Dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene	8	0.596	0.006	0.606	0.587	0.019	0.60
1,3-Dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	16	0.554	0.006	0.563	0.543	0.020	0.55
1,3-Dimethyl-5-ethylbenzene	12	0.517	0.013	0.530	0.490	0.040	0.52
1,4-Dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene	16	0.553	0.008	0.572	0.537	0.035	0.55
<i>n</i> -Pentylbenzene	9	0.508	0.013	0.533	0.489	0.044	0.51
Isopentylbenzene	3	0.501	0.000	0.501	0.501	0.000	0.50
<i>sec</i> .-Pentylbenzene	1	0.467					0.48
<i>tert</i> .-Pentylbenzene	5	0.492	0.009	0.509	0.486	0.023	0.49
Pentamethylbenzene	6	0.662	0.013	0.681	0.650	0.031	0.66
2- <i>n</i> -Butyltoluene	1	0.512					(0.54)
4- <i>n</i> -Butyltoluene	3	0.498	0.002	0.500	0.496	0.004	0.50
3- <i>tert</i> .-Butyltoluene	1	0.500					0.50
4- <i>tert</i> .-Butyltoluene	2	0.495	0.001	0.496	0.494	0.002	0.50
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	1	0.546					(0.53)
1-Ethyl-4- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	3	0.491	0.003	0.494	0.488	0.006	0.49
1,3-Diethyl-5-methylbenzene	1	0.542					0.52
<i>n</i> -Hexylbenzene	5	0.502	0.010	0.513	0.491	0.022	0.50
1-Methyl-2- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	1	0.536					0.54
1-Methyl-4- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	3	0.500	0.004	0.503	0.495	0.008	0.50
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	1	0.535					0.53

TABLE IV (continued)

Solute	No.	Average	S.D.	Max	Min	Max-Min	Taken value
1-Ethyl-4- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	3	0.489	0.004	0.492	0.485	0.007	0.49
1,2-Di- <i>n</i> -propylbenzene	1	0.528					0.53
1,3-Diisopropylbenzene	2	0.460	0.017	0.472	0.448	0.024	(0.48)
1,4-Diisopropylbenzene	6	0.476	0.015	0.503	0.459	0.044	0.47
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-4-isopropylbenzene	3	0.470	0.007	0.474	0.462	0.012	0.47
1,3-Dimethyl-5- <i>tert</i> -butylbenzene	2	0.513	0.028	0.532	0.493	0.039	0.51
1,3,5-Triethylbenzene	2	0.501	0.013	0.510	0.492	0.018	0.50
Hexamethylbenzene	4	0.719	0.009	0.726	0.707	0.019	0.72
<i>n</i> -Heptylbenzene	1	0.484					0.48
1-Methyl-2- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	1	0.529					0.53
1-Methyl-4- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	3	0.502	0.007	0.506	0.494	0.012	0.50
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	1	0.529					0.53
1-Ethyl-4- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	3	0.493	0.007	0.497	0.485	0.012	0.49
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-2- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	1	0.510					0.51
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-4- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	3	0.481	0.009	0.488	0.470	0.018	0.49
<i>n</i> -Octylbenzene	1	0.482					0.48
1-Methyl-2- <i>n</i> -heptylbenzene	1	0.522					0.52
1-Methyl-4- <i>n</i> -heptylbenzene	2	0.504	0.005	0.507	0.500	0.007	0.50
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	1	0.520					0.52
1-Ethyl-4- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	3	0.489	0.007	0.497	0.484	0.013	0.49
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-2- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	1	0.502					0.50
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-4- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	3	0.444	0.007	0.449	0.436	0.013	(0.48)
1,2-Di- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	1	0.518					(0.50)
1,4-Di- <i>n</i> -butylbenzene	3	0.479	0.009	0.485	0.468	0.017	0.48
1,4-Diisobutylbenzene	3	0.414	0.014	0.427	0.400	0.027	0.41
1,4-Di- <i>sec</i> .-butylbenzene	3	0.432	0.010	0.440	0.421	0.019	0.43
1,4-Di- <i>tert</i> .-butylbenzene	3	0.439	0.004	0.443	0.435	0.008	0.44
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-4-isobutylbenzene	3	0.444	0.011	0.452	0.431	0.021	0.44
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-4- <i>sec</i> .-butylbenzene	3	0.456	0.011	0.464	0.443	0.021	0.46
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-4- <i>tert</i> .-butylbenzene	3	0.456	0.006	0.462	0.450	0.012	0.46
1-Isobutyl-4- <i>sec</i> .-butylbenzene	3	0.420	0.015	0.433	0.404	0.029	0.42
1-Isobutyl-4- <i>tert</i> .-butylbenzene	3	0.424	0.010	0.433	0.413	0.020	0.42
1- <i>sec</i> .-Butyl-4- <i>tert</i> .-butylbenzene	3	0.439	0.010	0.445	0.427	0.010	0.44
<i>n</i> -Nonylbenzene	1	0.477					0.48
1-Methyl-2- <i>n</i> -octylbenzene	1	0.520					0.52
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -heptylbenzene	1	0.520					0.52
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-2- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	1	0.498					0.50
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-2- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	1	0.501					0.50
1-Methyl-3,5-di- <i>sec</i> .-butylbenzene	3	0.471	0.007	0.475	0.463	0.012	0.47
1,3,5-Triisopropylbenzene	1	0.398					0.40
<i>n</i> -Decylbenzene	1	0.469					0.47
1-Methyl-2- <i>n</i> -nonylbenzene	1	0.509					0.51
1-Ethyl-2- <i>n</i> -octylbenzene	1	0.509					0.51
1- <i>n</i> -Propyl-2- <i>n</i> -heptylbenzene	1	0.492					0.49
1- <i>n</i> -Butyl-2- <i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	1	0.493					0.49
1,2-Di- <i>n</i> -pentylbenzene	1	0.496					0.49
1,2,4,5-Tetraisopropylbenzene	3	0.394	0.029	0.417	0.362	0.055	0.39
Styrene	7	0.649	0.011	0.674	0.642	0.032	0.65
α -Methylstyrene	2	0.643	0.014	0.653	0.633	0.020	0.64
<i>trans</i> - β -Methylstyrene	1	0.721					0.72
Allylbenzene	1	0.596					0.60
Naphthalene	6	0.917	0.018	0.937	0.885	0.052	0.92
1-Methylnaphthalene	3	0.895	0.006	0.899	0.889	0.010	0.90

(Continued on p. 238)

TABLE IV (continued)

Solute	No.	Average	S.D.	Max	Min	Max - Min	Taken value
2-Methylnaphthalene	3	0.881	0.001	0.882	0.880	0.002	0.88
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	2	0.920	0.004	0.923	0.917	0.005	0.92
1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	2	0.921	0.001	0.922	0.920	0.002	0.92
1,4-Dimethylnaphthalene	2	0.906	0.004	0.909	0.903	0.006	0.91
1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	2	0.871	0.003	0.873	0.869	0.004	0.87
1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	3	0.912	0.005	0.916	0.907	0.009	0.91
1,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	2	0.894	0.004	0.896	0.891	0.005	0.89
1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	2	0.909	0.001	0.910	0.908	0.002	0.91
2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	3	0.945	0.009	0.953	0.935	0.018	0.94
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	3	0.911	0.003	0.914	0.908	0.006	0.91
2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	2	0.911	0.001	0.911	0.910	0.001	0.91
Tetrahydronaphthalene	6	0.646	0.047	0.699	0.591	0.108	0.65
Indene	1	0.768					0.77
Indane	7	0.624	0.031	0.656	0.579	0.077	0.62
1-Methylindane	3	0.621	0.004	0.625	0.618	0.007	0.62
2-Methylindane	3	0.603	0.002	0.604	0.600	0.004	0.60
4-Methylindane	3	0.671	0.009	0.680	0.663	0.017	0.67
5-Methylindane	3	0.644	0.006	0.650	0.639	0.011	0.64

whenever possible, back-calculated solvation parameters are obtained from several equations and not just one.

We have just enough results in Table IV to reach a number of general conclusions as to structural effects on π_2^H . The effect of chain length of alkyl groups is very small. Thus, from benzene to *n*-hexylbenzene, π_2^H decreases from 0.52 to 0.50 units. Beyond this, we have only one determination for each *n*-alkylbenzene and so we cannot make any definitive comment; even so, reduction of π_2^H to 0.47 in the case of *n*-decylbenzene does show that after the first one or two members in any homologous series π_2^H is approximately constant.

A much greater variation in π_2^H is shown by positional isomers. In general, *o*-dialkyl groups have π_2^H about 0.035 units larger than the corresponding *m*- or *p*-dialkyl groups. This seems to extend to any di-*n*-alkyl-substituted benzene, and probably also to di-*sec*-alkylbenzenes, although unfortunately lack of data prevents any discussion of the *tert*-alkyl substituents. The tri- and tetramethylbenzenes follow this trend almost exactly, and even pentamethylbenzene ($\pi_2^H = 0.66$, calc. 0.66) and hexamethylbenzene ($\pi_2^H = 0.72$, calc. 0.73) obey the rule when the number of *ortho* interactions is taken as four and six, respectively.

However, values of π_2^H for tri- and tetrasubstituted benzenes involving *sec*-alkyl or *tert*-alkyl groups are appreciably less than calculated using the 0.035 unit rule for *ortho* groups. Thus, 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene ($\pi_2^H = 0.60$, calc. 0.59) shows the predicted elevation of π_2^H , but we find that 1,2,4,5-tetraisopropylbenzene has a lower π_2^H value than benzene itself, *viz.*, 0.39 units only. Clearly, more than one factor is at work with these complicated substituted benzenes.

For the simpler benzene derivatives, the very regular pattern of π_2^H enables us to identify outliers to the general trend. These are solutes where our suggested value in Table IV is in parentheses and is significantly different to the determined value. Thus, in the series of 1-methyl-2-*n*-alkylbenzenes we have for the variation of the 2-*n*-alkyl group, methyl 0.56, ethyl 0.55, *n*-propyl 0.54, *n*-butyl 0.51, *n*-pentyl 0.54 and *n*-hexyl 0.53. Clearly, the value of 0.51 for 1-methyl-2-*n*-butylbenzene is too low, and we suggest in Table IV a value of 0.54 units. There are a few other cases shown in Table IV where similar reasoning leads to alternative suggested values.

We have listed in Table I the R_2 and $\log L^{16}$ values for alkyl aromatics and in Table IV the new π_2^H values that we have calculated. As α_2^H is zero for the solutes that we are considering, the only remaining

TABLE V

VALUES OF β_2^H FOR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS

Solute	A ^a	B ^b	C ^c	D ^d	Taken
Benzene	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.14
Toluene	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.14
Ethylbenzene	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.15
<i>n</i> -Propylbenzene		0.13	0.16	0.18	0.15
<i>n</i> -Butylbenzene		0.11	0.16	0.18	0.15
Isobutylbenzene			0.10	0.16	0.15
<i>n</i> -Pentylbenzene		0.07	0.17	0.18	0.15
<i>n</i> -Hexylbenzene		0.04	0.17	0.18	0.15
<i>n</i> -Octylbenzene		0.10			0.15
<i>n</i> -Decylbenzene		0.09			0.15
<i>n</i> -Dodecylbenzene	0.17				0.15
Isopropylbenzene	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.21	0.16
<i>sec</i> .-Butylbenzene			0.17	0.23	0.16
<i>tert</i> .-Butylbenzene	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.27	0.16
<i>o</i> -Xylene	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16
<i>m</i> -Xylene	0.18	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.16
<i>p</i> -Xylene	0.18	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.16
2-Ethyltoluene		0.18	0.21	0.23	0.18
4-Ethyltoluene		0.15	0.22	0.24	0.18
4-Isopropyltoluene		0.16	0.22	0.30	0.19
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene		0.16	0.20	0.20	0.19
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		0.15	0.18	0.18	0.19
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.19
1,2,4,5-Tetramethylbenzene	0.20	0.16			0.19
1,2,3,5-Tetramethylbenzene	0.17	0.16			0.19
1,2,3,4-Tetramethylbenzene		0.18			0.19
Pentamethylbenzene	0.20	0.17			0.20
Hexamethylbenzene	0.22	0.26			0.21
Indane		0.13	0.21	0.15	0.16
Indene		0.20			0.18
Styrene	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.20	0.18
α -Methylstyrene	0.15	0.19	0.17	0.24	0.18
Biphenyl	0.20	0.24	0.18	0.24	0.20
Naphthalene	0.21	0.19	0.16	0.21	0.20
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.22	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.20
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.23	0.15			0.20
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene		0.21			0.20
1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene		0.18			0.20
1,4-Dimethylnaphthalene		0.19			0.20
1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene		0.19			0.20
1,7-Dimethylnaphthalene		0.17			0.20
2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	0.22	0.19			0.20
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	0.22	0.20			0.20
2-Ethylnaphthalene		0.19			0.20
Allylbenzene		0.22			0.22
Phenylethyne		0.25			0.24

^a Values from 1:1 complexation constants in tetrachloromethane [35].^b From a regression of water-octanol partition coefficients for aromatics [34].^c From a regression of air-water partition coefficients, all solutes [34].^d From a regression of water-hexadecane partition coefficients, all solutes [34].

solvation parameter is β_2^H . We have listed already [1] a number of β_2^H values for alkyl aromatics, either obtained by the back-calculation method [34] or deduced from the 1:1 complexation constants for hydrogen bonding in carbon tetrachloride as set out in detail before [35]. We can extend and update this list, as shown in Table V, where we have enough β_2^H values to predict a number of outstanding values with some confidence. In Table V the solutes are ordered by the increasing number of alkyl substituents, to show the regular increase in β_2^H . Using values in Table V that have been determined, we can deduce that any *n*-dialkylbenzene will have a β_2^H of 0.18 and any *n*-trialkylbenzene a value of 0.19 units: the only possible exceptions are compounds with di-*tert*-butyl groups, for which we have no data. The results in Table V now complete the entire set of solvation parameters for about 120 alkyl aromatic solutes, and so greatly extend the scope of application of the general solvation eqn. 1.

Finally, we discuss briefly the effects of structure on the solvation parameters. As found before [1], branching in an alkyl chain always results in a decrease in $\log L^{16}$. Oddly enough, polysubstituted isomers always have a larger $\log L^{16}$ value than does the monosubstituted isomer, e.g., the trimethylbenzenes all have larger $\log L^{16}$ values than *n*-propylbenzene. However, there is little that is exceptional with the values of $\log L^{16}$ in Table I. The β_2^H values (or more correctly the $\Sigma\beta_2^H$ values) in Table V are also unexceptional; increasing alkyl substitution in the benzene ring slightly increases the hydrogen-bond basicity, as expected from the small negative Hammett σ constants for alkyl groups. However, structural effects on the polarizability parameter π_2^H are not easily interpreted, especially the marked increase in π_2^H with *ortho*-alkyl groups. It is well known that *ortho*-methyl groups in the benzene ring suffer restricted rotation, as shown by thermodynamic [36] and spectroscopic evidence [37], including ^{13}C NMR studies [38], but why this should lead to an increase in polarizability is not clear. Baudour and Sanquer [39] have suggested that in 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene the two sets of *ortho*-methyl groups are "wagging" above and below the plane of the benzene ring. This could possibly give rise to a local or instantaneous dipole moment that could lead to increased polarizability. What our results do show, however, is that it is very difficult to

predict values of π_2^H for new systems, and that for the time being they must be based on experimental results.

REFERENCES

- M. H. Abraham, G. S. Whiting, R. M. Doherty and W. J. Shuely, *J. Chromatogr.*, 587 (1991) 213.
- M. H. Abraham, G. S. Whiting, R. M. Doherty and W. J. Shuely, *J. Chromatogr.*, 587 (1991) 229.
- M. H. Abraham, G. S. Whiting, J. Andonian-Haftvan, J. W. Steed and J. W. Grate, *J. Chromatogr.*, 588 (1991) 361.
- M. H. Abraham, G. S. Whiting, R. M. Doherty, W. J. Shuely and P. Sakellariou, *Polymer*, in press.
- M. H. Abraham, I. Hamerton, J. B. Rose and J. W. Grate, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, (1991) 1417.
- M. H. Abraham, G. S. Whiting, R. M. Doherty and W. J. Shuely, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, (1990) 1451.
- M. H. Abraham, P. L. Grellier and R. A. McGill, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, (1987) 797.
- T.-C. L. Chang and C. Karr, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 21 (1959) 474.
- J.-C. Dutoit, *J. Chromatogr.*, 555 (1991) 191.
- D. Papazova and N. Dimov, *J. Chromatogr.*, 356 (1986) 320.
- J. Macák, V. Nabivach, P. Buryan and S. Šindler, *J. Chromatogr.*, 234 (1982) 285.
- L. Soják and J. A. Rijks, *J. Chromatogr.*, 119 (1976) 505.
- L. Soják, J. Janák and J. A. Rijks, *J. Chromatogr.*, 135 (1977) 71.
- E. Matisová, *J. Chromatogr.*, 438 (1988) 131.
- W. Engewald and L. Wennrich, *Chromatographia*, 9 (1976) 540.
- L. Soják and J. Hrvnak, *Ropa Uhlie*, 11 (1969) 364.
- V. A. Gerasimento, A. V. Kirelenko and V. M. Nabivach, *J. Chromatogr.*, 208 (1981) 9.
- E. Matisová, M. Rukríglová, J. Krupčík, E. Kovačičová and Š. Holotík, *J. Chromatogr.*, 455 (1988) 301.
- A. R. Couper, C. W. P. Crowne and P. G. Farrell, *J. Chromatogr.*, 29 (1967) 1.
- N. Dimov and E. Matisová, *J. Chromatogr.*, 549 (1991) 325.
- S. H. Langer and J. H. Purnell, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 67 (1963) 263.
- S. H. Langer, B. M. Johnson and J. R. Conder, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 72 (1968) 4020.
- R. Uehori, T. Nagata, K. Kimura, K. Kudo and M. Noda, *J. Chromatogr.*, 411 (1987) 251.
- O. E. Schupp and J. S. Lewis (Editors), *Compilation of Gas Chromatographic Data* (ASTM Series DS 25A), American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1967.
- B. Wallaert, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, (1971) 1107.
- H. Miyake, M. Mitooka and T. Matsumoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 38 (1965) 1062.
- S. H. Langer, C. Zahn and C. Pantazoplos, *J. Chromatogr.*, 3 (1960) 154.
- M. Ryba, *J. Chromatogr.*, 123 (1976) 327.
- C. L. Stuckey, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, 7 (1969) 179.
- M. S. Vigdergauz and R. V. Vigalot, *Neftekhimiya*, 11 (1971) 144.
- L. Soják and M. S. Vigdergauz, *J. Chromatogr.*, 148 (1978) 159.

- 32 W. Engewald, I. Topolova, N. Petsov and C. Dmitrov, *Chromatographia*, 23 (1987) 561.
- 33 V. Svob and D. Deur-Siftar, *J. Chromatogr.*, 91 (1974) 677.
- 34 M. H. Abraham and G. S. Whiting, unpublished results.
- 35 M. H. Abraham, P. L. Grellier, D. V. Prior, J. J. Morris and P. J. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, (1990) 521.
- 36 K. S. Pitzer and D. W. Scott, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 65 (1943) 803.
- 37 R. C. Livingstone, D. M. Grant, R. J. Pugmire, K. A. Strong and R. M. Brugger, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 58 (1973) 1438.
- 38 D. K. Dalling, K. H. Ladner, D. M. Grant and W. R. Woolfenden, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 99 (1977) 7142.
- 39 J. L. Baudour and M. Sanquer, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 30 (1974) 2371.